9/19/80

### Memorandum 80-79

Subject: New Topics

In connection with the approval of its Annual Report each year, the Commission considers whether it should request authority to study any new topics. At the time this decision is made, the Commission reviews any letters that have been received since the previous annual review suggesting new topics.

Last year, the Commission reviewed a suggested amendment to the Eminent Domain Law and decided to refer it to the State Bar Committee on Condemnation to determine that committee's views whether the amendment is a matter worthy of Commission study. The State Bar Committee unanimously voted against the suggested amendment. Accordingly, the staff proposes that no further consideration be given to this suggestion.

All of the suggestions received this last year involve topics the Commission already is authorized to study. The staff recommends against adding any new topics to our calendar of topics. The suggestions received and the disposition or suggested disposition are set out below:

- (1) William S. Johnstone, Pasadena lawyer, has written suggesting a revision is needed to clarify the procedure for appointment of a successor guardian or conservator. We have prepared a staff draft of a recommendation in response to this suggestion for consideration at the October meeting.
- (2) Sanford M. Cipinko, San Francisco lawyer, has written suggesting that the words "all claims" in Code of Civil Procedure § 484.202(b) (the Attachment Law) be clarified. Without going into detail concerning the suggestion, the staff plans to present this problem for Commission consideration when the overall revision of the Attachment Law is considered next year.
- (3) Kurt W. Melchior has written suggesting that the provisions of the Evidence Code which permit a privilege to be invoked by "the personal representative" in a wrongful death case should be revised to make it unnecessary to open a probate estate merely to provide a person to claim the privilege in a wrongful death case. If there is no probate

estate, he suggests that the privilege claim should be permitted by any person who has the legal standing to maintain a cause of action for the wrongful death of the decedent and perhaps by any other blood relative of the first degree. See his letter attached as Exhibit 1. It would not be a substantial task to prepare a draft of a recommendation to effectuate this suggestion. Does the Commission wish such a draft to be prepared for its consideration?

- (4) Haskell Titchell, a San Francisco lawyer, has written suggesting a need to deal with the problem created where one spouse has a conservator and the other spouse is managing and controlling the community property but is unwilling to support the conservatee spouse. The staff has prepared a staff draft of a recommendation relating to this problem for consideration at the October meeting.
- (5) Lawrence Silver, Beverly Hills lawyer, has written suggesting that the Attachment Law be revised to permit an attachment to be set aside if the defendant shows that the attachment is not authorized in view of evidence discovered after the attachment was issued. We will consider this suggestion in connection with our overall study of the Attachment Law which is needed to conform that law to the proposed Enforcement of Judgments Law.
- (6) We have received a number of communications urging the need for probate reform. See the letter from Mathew Valencic which is attached as Exhibit 2. See also the article from the San Jose Mercury (Monday, June 9, 1980) attached as Exhibit 3. The staff recommendations concerning priority suggests that some priority be given to the probate law study.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. DeMoully Executive Secretary

Memo 80-79

Exhibit 1

SEVERSON, WERSON, BERKE & MELCHIOR

**ATTORNEYS** 

TWENTY-FIFTH FLOOR

ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER-SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94iil

(415) 398-3344

JAMES B. WERSON KURT W. MELCHIOR CLAIRE D. JOHNSON EDMUND T. KING II ROBERT L. LOFTS DENNIS M. TALBOTT RICHARD B. SCHREIBER J. MARK MONTOBBIO JAN T. CHILTON STEVEN W. WALDO JOHN H. FELOMANN III MICHAEL J. BERTINETTI GERALD J. BUCHWALD LAWRENCE A. HOBEL ROBERT A. SPANNER JOHN W BERGHOLT WILLIAM F. MACK CARL J. WEST WILLIAM V. MCTAGGART, JR. HOWARD W. ASHCRAFT, JR.

ERIC A. BRILL

NATHAN R. BERKE ERNEST Y. SEVIER RANSOM S. COOK ROBERT V. MAGOR BERNARDUS J. SMIT D. RONALD RYLAND WILLIAM W. WERTZ ROBERT J. CATHCART THOMAS G. WOOD DONALD J. QUERIO MICHAEL WISCHKAEMPER CAROL A. CONSOLVER CAROL L. WILSON FOWARD D HAAS

WALTER R. SEVERSON ALLAN L.FINK OF COUNSEL

July 11, 1980

LOS ANGELES OFFICE **SUITE 3200** 707 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA 90017 (213) 887-8670

California Law Revision Commission 4000 Middlefield Road, Room D-2 Palo Alto, California

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Because of your interest in the administration of the Evidence Code I would like to make a comment about Boling v. Superior Court, (May, 1980) 105 Cal.App.3d 430. The opinion is constructive and helpful, and I believe it to be by in large correct in its reasoning. This opinion addresses, as a matter of first impression, the language of Evidence Code section 1013(c) and rules that in a wrongful death case, the privilege can only be invoked by "the personal representative" in the sense of a representative of the estate under the Probate Code, such as an executor or administrator.

The ruling clears up an ambiguity in the section and is consistent with my surmise about its meaning over the years. However, the Boling case is a good example of the defect in this formulation, since apparently the late Mr. Boling left no probate Thus it would become necessary to open a probate estate and have an executor or administrator appointed, seemingly for the sole purpose of asserting the privilege post-mortem.

I would respectfully suggest that this is an unintended form of overkill: why need a probate file be opened simply to assert a personal prerogative? This is not within the normal compass of probate administration. Would it not be as simple to allow the privilege on behalf of a dead patient to be asserted by any one of a group including the "personal representative" within the meaning of Boling, by any person who has legal standing to maintain a cause of action for the wrongful death of the decedent, and perhaps by any other blood relative of the first degree?

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

KWM:fst



### An Organization Of

### AMERICANS FOR LEGAL REFORM

August 11, 1980

#### EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Kathy Ekolahl
Paul Hasse
Dan Uewoli
Robert Tigner
Mait Valencie

Assemblyman Alister McAlister California Legislature State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Assemblyman McAlister,

# Frank Freeland of the NRTA-AARP, with whom I have been communicating for some time now on the subject of probate reform in California, referred me to you as a legislator concerned with the study of reform proposals. He informed me that you were the initiator and driving force of Assembly Concurrent Resolution 107 regarding the Uniform Probate Code and its relevance for your state.

This organization has a considerable interest in the subject of probate reform in general and in California in particular. Two of our advisors, Norman Dacey and David Scull, have been active probate reform advocates for years-and both recently appeared on the Phil Donohue show to discuss the subject. Myself and Bob Tigner (HALT's staff attorney) also appeared on the Donohue show a few weeks later (in fact today in California) and we again discussed the issue of probate reform.

HALT's advocacy of probate reform had an important role in the passage of a probate reform bill here in D.C. after several years of stalement with the lobbying forces of the probate bar. We have also generated considerable interest in the issue in Maryland, where we have worked very closely with Delegate Scull (our advisor again), and we expect a major breakthrough there this coming legislative session.

In California, we expect that our potential impact can be even greater. The issue is certainly ripe there and our membership (composed of extremely active people) there has reached 3,000 and continues to grow rapidly. We have excellent media contacts and Mike Richards (our legislative representative) and I have been working for some time to make contacts with people interested in California probate reform and to gather as much information as we can on the probate system there and the politics surrounding it. We are carefully and deliberately laying the groundwork for a probate reform initiative in your state that we intend to take up soon. I hope that we can count on your interest and cooperation.

In particular, it would be extremely helpful if we could be put in touch with other legislators you know to be interested

### ADVISORY BOARD

Murray Teigh Bloom Author

Scott Burns Journalist

Norman Dacev Author

Roberta Ann Funnell Consumer Advacate

Kenneth Haugan Management Engineer

George E. Judd Business Executive

Donald McWherter Prof. of Pol. Science

Jeffrey O Connell
Loa Prof Author

Fred Rodel, (d. 1980) Yale Prof. of Law

Dr. James D. Rogers Physician

Paul J. Rosenbaum Nucleur Physicist

David 1. Sculi Maryland State Rep

Blaine Nels Simons
Author Attorney

John L. Smith Viah State Rep.

Anne Strick Author in probate reform, or at least who might be sympathetic to the idea. We have found that Dave Scull advice and his help in the Maryland legislature has been invaluable on this issue and on several others. We would like to develop a similar relationship with state legislators elsewhere: it can be a relationship with many mutual advantages. We can supply a considerable amount of public support and media coverage at crucial times (e.g., just before votes on reform legislation in judiciary committees) and we have contacts with people throughout the nation (both within the legal profession and non-lawyers) who have been active and successful in probate reform efforts.

I will send you some additional information about HALT in a separate package, including some reports on our probate activities. And I will keep you on our maining list; since we will be sending out several things on probate in the near future, especially regarding California. I hope that you will find all these things interesting and that you will be amenable to cooperating with us.

I look forward to receiving a response from you.

Sincerely

idthew Valencia

Director

### State's lawyers getting r off probate, author cha

By Daris Miller SaftWitter

ITH his dapper good looks. carefully clipped snows white heir and impeccably tailored gray pinstripe suit, it's easy to mistake Norman Dacey for a distinguished member of the legal pro-

But it would be a ghastly mistake and to tell him so.

After all, the famed estate planner has built a national reputation at new volume. How To Audit Probate tacking the American system of pro-bate law and the thousandstof law-yers he claims are getting rich un-fairly because of it.

When Dacey first published "How To Asold Probate" back in 1966, he

American Har Associamate its foundation by charging probate law was one of the biggest rackets in the country.

His view hasn't r

"H Al Capone could come back to Chicago, he wouldn't bother with the beer business," says Dacay. "He probably would be a prefute law-

Now 72, Dacey is once again hanmering at the legal system with his — Updated." He stopped in this lose recently to level a sew barrage:

The system is filled with corrept practices," he says "It subjects the

Continued on Page 2C

beneficiaries to outrageous costs, extreme delays and undesirable publicity. The system here in California needs a complete bulldozing. It's a real cesspool."

Probate is the process used in law to authenticate a person's last will and testament after death. Dacey suggests it also is the process by which some lawyers grow wealthy with a minimum of effort.

Dacey claims California's probate system is among the worst in the nation. And he says the California Bar has tried to block any efforts proposed by a national committee of attorneys to introduce a uniform system and eliminate abuses.

"Frankly, I don't see any hope for adequate reform in my lifetime," says Dacey, smiling devilishly. "I believe it will take at least another 75 years to make it right."

In brief, Dacey believes the lack of uniform probate standards permits lawyers to delay the authentication of a will and extract exorbitant fees in the meantime. His records are filled with examples of cases which he says were allowed to stretch out for years until the lawyers have sucked the estate dry.

Since he believes reform is too far off to benefit most of us, Dacey suggests we all avoid probate completely.

"A living trust is the only foolproof way to avoid probate," says Dacey. "Under such an arrangement, property is placed in trust before the death of an awner."

By naming a trusted relative as executor, the person making out the will removes the need for an attorney.

Dacey points to a couple of famous California cases that illustrate his point. He says Bing Crosby's first wife, Dixie Lee, did not have a living trust, so her \$1.3 million estate went through probate.

"Well, the probate system went right to work to protect her heirs," says Dacey. "It protected them right out of 8½ percent of the es-

Learning from his wife's mistake, Crosby established a living trust for his own enormous estate. Dacey says the lack of publicity about the details of Crosby's estate is another benefit of the living trust, which keeps such matters out of the

When the late President Franklin D. Roosevelt died, his estate was valued at about \$1,944,909. After probate and associated fees were

## 'If Al Capone could come back to Chicago . . . he would probably be a probate lawyer'

deducted, the estate was reduced so \$840,000.

If it seems probate just soaks the rich, that's a mistake, according to Dacey. He has a large file of cases involving small estates, like the postal employee who left \$4,500 to his two sisters, who received only \$400 each after eight years in probate.

"People think it just happens to millionaires," he says. "That isn't the case. The smaller the estate, the worse it is proportionately. I know of some cases where the entire estate has been consumed."

One particular case Dacey recalls is that of the Texas man who left his stepdanghter \$475,000.

"She waited for it nearly five years and finally had to apply for welfare," says Dacey. "She couldn't get her hands on the money, yet the lawyers could periodically dip into her estate."

One of the real drawbacks to probate is its open-ended nature, says Dacey. He says the national average for settlement of a probate case is two to five years, but he has one in his records that reached an astounding 38 years.

"The longer a lawyer can drag out the case, the better," he says. "He can build up a huge will file to help see him through his goldenyears."

Lack of privacy is a problem of probate that shouldn't be ignored either, says Dacey. "If a businessman wants to leave his girl friend something in his will, why should his other heirs be subjected to the embarrassment," Dacey asks.

Even worse is the possibility that some unscrupulous type will compile a list of beneficiaries from the probate file and set out to fleece them out of their inheritance, he adds.

Dacey also says some probate lawyers charge as much as 12 times the minimum statutory fee for their services, simply because there's more money in the estate to be grabbed.

To make it simple to follow his advice, Dacey has fattened up his book with nearly 200 perforated tear-out forms, which the reader

- 1

can use to exempt almost any conceivable kind of property from probate.

"Only once in 15 years did someone contest one of my forms," says Dacey.

In that case, Virginia Miller of Houston used one of Dacey's forms to place two pieces of property in trust. An angry relative who was left out of the bequest challenged the trusts. Not only did the Texas Supreme Court uphold the trusts, but the justices noted in their findings that living trusts "often afford greater protection, more privacy and considerable economy."

"There's certainly no reason to

consult a lawyer to fill out the forms," says Dacey. "I would be very disappointed if someone did."

Dacey now feels there's a groundswell of public opinion against the abuses of probate. He says there's a non-profit organization called Help Abolish Legal Tyranny (HALT) in Washington, D.C., which is working for reform and on occasion will send staff lawyers to help people fight some abuses.

Of course, Dacey wants to make sure everybody knows you can't avoid inheritance taxes by avoiding probate. That's another problem entirely.

Now that he has updated his bestselling self-help kit book and spread the word about it on a national tour, Dacey intends to take a long vacation. He'll move to Ireland this summer, where he has a cottage beside a lake.

"I'm just going to sit and watch salmon jump out of the water all day," he says. "And I propose to think nothing but beautiful thoughts about lawyers. I'll even pray for them."